#### Meta Learning in Decentralized Neural Networks Towards More General Al

Yuwei Sun

The University of Tokyo RIKEN





## The state of deep learning

Go



#### Large language model



#### Self-driving car



#### Text to video



#### **Protein folding**



## **Out-of-distribution generalization**

- Training to test
- Distribution difference
- Undesired performance with unseen data





Beyond the training distribution

# Why meta learning?

- Learning different perspectives with multiple agents (models)
- Meta learning selects and combines learning algorithms to tackle a new task [Pratt, 1991]
- Extract information from past experiences and tasks
- Reusable features and models



### Cooperating and competing neural networks

- Global workspace theory [Baars, 1988]
- Cooperating and competing neural network models
- Specialized processors
- Selection and reuse of processors



## Reusable knowledge representation learning

- Improve neural networks generalization through knowledge transfer
- Discussion on replica neural networks (a), hierarchy of neural networks (b), and multi-modal models (c) as key approaches



#### Replica neural networks in multi-agent settings



#### Distributional shift between train and test dataset

- Good in-distribution performance
- Struggle in out-of-distribution (OOD) settings
- Given  $D_S = (X_S, Y_S)$  and  $D_T = (X_T)$ , find  $P(Y_T|X_T)$



#### **Reusable representation sharing**



# Feature distribution matching for federated domain generalization



# Datasets



#### Office-Caltech10 [Gong, 2012]











Amazon

DSLR

Webcam

Caltech

#### Amazon review [Blitzer, 2007]

Book: This book turns the entire concept of intelligence inside out

DVD: This is a great DVD for all collections

**Electronics:** This is perfect for my iPod and keeps it totally secure while driving **Kitchen:** Simple, straight forward to use, very easy to clean, and durable

#### Late convergence



### Performance evaluation

Diait Five	Models/Tasks	$\rightarrow \mathrm{mt}$	$\rightarrow m$	m $\rightarrow$	up	$\rightarrow sv$	$\rightarrow$ sy	Avg
g	$\operatorname{FedAvg}$	$93.5 \pm 0.1$	5 $62.5\pm$	0.72  90.2 =	E0.37 1	$2.6{\pm}0.31$	$40.9{\pm}0.50$	59.9
+4 0%	f-DANN	$89.7 {\pm} 0.2$	$3 70.4 \pm$	0.69 88.0=	E0.23 1	$1.9{\pm}0.50$	$43.8 {\pm} 1.04$	60.8
· <del>· ·</del> · · /0	f-DAN	$93.5 \pm 0.2$	$6662.1\pm$	$0.45  90.2 \pm$	E0.13 1	$2.1{\pm}0.56$	$41.5{\pm}0.76$	59.9
	Voting-S	<b>93.7</b> ±0.1	$63.4\pm$	0.28 <b>92.6</b>	$\pm 0.25$ 1	$4.2 {\pm} 0.99$	$45.3 {\pm} 0.34$	61.8
	Voting-L	$93.5 \pm 0.1$	$.8  64.8 \pm$	$1.01  \underline{92.3}$	E0.21 1	$4.3{\pm}0.42$	$45.6{\pm}0.57$	62.1
	Disentangler + Voting-S	$91.8{\pm}0.2$	$20  71.2 \pm$	0.40  91.0 =	E0.58 1	$4.4{\pm}1.09$	$48.7 {\pm} 1.19$	63.4
	Disentangler + Voting-L	$92.1{\pm}0.1$	6 <u>71.8</u> ±	0.48 90.9	E0.36 <u>1</u>	$5.1 \pm 0.91$	$49.1 \pm 1.03$	<u>63.8</u>
	Disentangler + MK-MMD	$90.0 {\pm} 0.4$	9 $70.4\pm$	$0.86   87.5  \pm$	$\pm 0.25$ 1	$2.2{\pm}0.70$	$44.3 {\pm} 1.18$	60.9
	FedKA-S	$91.8{\pm}0.1$	9 $\underline{72.5}\pm$	$0.91  90.6 \pm$	E0.14 <b>1</b>	<b>5.2</b> ±0.46	$48.9 \pm 0.48$	<u>63.8</u>
	FedKA-L	$92.0{\pm}0.2$	6 <b>72.6</b> ±	$1.03  \underline{91.1}$	Ł0.24 <u>1</u>	$4.8 \pm 0.41$	<b>49.2</b> ±0.78	63.9
-								
Office-Caltech	10 Models/Tasks	С	$,D,W \rightarrow A$	$A,D,W \rightarrow 0$	C = C, A,	W→D	$C,D,A \rightarrow W$	Avg
	$\operatorname{Fed}\operatorname{Avg}$	56	$6.4 \pm 1.23$	$40.2 \pm 0.6$	9 28.7	$\pm 1.21$	$22.7 {\pm} 1.85$	37.0
+2.3%	f-DANN	58	$8.3 \pm 1.53$	$40.0 \pm 1.5$	0  30.7	$\pm 3.59$	$22.3{\pm}1.29$	37.8
	f-DAN	5	$6.7 {\pm} 0.71$	$38.7 {\pm} 0.75$	5 30.2	$\pm 1.64$	$\underline{23.9} \pm 1.70$	37.4
	Voting	56	$6.5 \pm 1.88$	$40.2 \pm 0.5$	8 29.8	$\pm 1.45$	<b>24.1</b> ±0.69	37.7
$Disentangler + V_{0}$		ng <b>6</b> 1	<b>1.4</b> ±2.51	<b>40.4</b> ±1.0	1 31.5	$\pm 3.11$	$23.9 \pm 1.89$	39.3
	Disentangler + MK	-MMD 59	$9.5 \pm 0.41$	$37.8 {\pm} 0.93$	3 <b>32.2</b>	$\pm 3.21$	$\overline{22.3} \pm 1.00$	38.0
FedKA		59	$9.9 \pm 1.44$	$39.7 \pm 0.81$	l 30.2	$\pm 1.71$	$23.4 \pm 1.45$	$\frac{-}{38.3}$

#### T-SNE visualization of representation distributions



Without knowledge transfer



With knowledge transfer

#### Hierarchical learning as a Markov decision process



## Neural states of modules



- Problem: Non-independent and identically distributed data (non-IID)
- Cluster: Compressed weights of modules with similar training data classes
- Reliable incremental measures of progress

#### Neural states of modules



#### Neural states of modules



## **Outer loop reinforcement learning**



Yuwei Sun, Hideya Ochiai. Homogeneous Learning: Self-Attention Decentralized Deep Learning. IEEE Access. 2022.

## **Reward learning**



#### Reduced convergence time



# Cross-modal knowledge transfer

- World model with different modalities
- Cross-modal knowledge transfer
- Visual Question Answering tasks



## **Question:** What shape are the pizzas?

Answer: square

## **Multi-agent Visual Question Answering**



- Training on the entire distribution
- Subsets representing different
  perspectives





	- 1	
<b>HVA</b>	1121	n
	lua	

VOA Models	Contrastive learning-based VQA (%)					
VQA Models	Overall	Yes/No	Number	Other		
BAN	36.23	66.90	12.71	19.11		
BUTD	45.08	75.82	29.27	25.86		
MFB	46.98	73.95	32.81	30.20		
MCAN-s	53.18	81.06	41.95	34.93		
MCAN-1	53.32	81.21	42.66	34.90		
MMNas-s	51.54	78.06	39.76	34.46		
MMNas-1	53.82	80.06	42.86	36.75		

VOA Models	UniCon (%)						
VQA Models	Overall	Yes/No	Number	Other			
BAN	35.11	63.84	11.06	19.61			
BUTD	40.96	66.98	13.34	28.74			
MFB	42.43	68.65	23.33	27.52			
MCAN-s	48.42	74.93	30.88	32.89			
MCAN-l	48.44	77.44	30.72	32.01			
MMNas-s	45.14	70.55	28.04	30.33			
MMNas-1	49.89	74.85	36.88	34.33			



Q: Which room is this? A: bedroom Ground Truth: bedroom

> Q: How many pictures on the wall? A: 6 Ground Truth: 7

# Conclusions

- Limitation: Out-of-distribution generalization ability of NNs
- Benefits of knowledge sharing and social learning among NN models in unseen tasks
- Network of interconnected NN models with similar architecture
- Hierarchical NNs with a meta model to optimize policy
- Self-supervised learning for cross-modal knowledge transfer without labels

#### Reusable modular knowledge for systematic generalization

- Decompose high-level knowledge into reusable components
- Attention mechanism
- Switch from System 1 to System 2 processing
- **Routing** of reusable components to tackle the OOD problem
- Graph-structured elements of **causality**
- Interventions, effects, and interpretability



#### Meta Learning in Decentralized Neural Networks Towards More General Al

Yuwei Sun

The University of Tokyo RIKEN



